



San Felipe Del Rio

Consolidated Independent School District



“A = Superior”

2021 Rating For the Year Ending August 31, 2020

School FIRST: Compliance

- School FIRST is the Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas.
- Every District is Required to Provide a Management Report to Public:
 - Overview of School FIRST
 - Present District Rating provided by TEA
 - Allow for Public Comment on Report as presented
- Date of Meeting Must Be Published (Nov. 24th & Nov. 28th)

Indicators

<u>State Indicator</u>	<u>Indicator Background</u>	<u>SFDRCID</u> <u>19-20</u> <u>Results</u>	<u>SFDRCID</u> <u>18-19</u> <u>Results</u>	<u>SFDRCID</u> <u>17-18</u> <u>Results</u>
<p>1. Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of August 31?</p> <p><i>The Annual Financial Report was approved by the Board of Trustees on January 19, 2021.</i></p>	<p>A simple indicator. Was your Annual Financial Report filed by the deadline?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>1/19/2021</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>1/20/2020</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>1/21/2019</p>
<p>2. Was there an Unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole?</p> <p><i>The Annual Financial Report was submitted with an "unmodified Opinion"</i></p>	<p>A "modified" version of the auditor's opinion in your annual audit report means that you need to correct some of your reporting or financial controls. A district's goal, therefore, is to receive an "unmodified opinion" on its Annual Financial Report.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>3. Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?</p> <p><i>The district paid all obligations in a timely manner.</i></p>	<p>This indicator seeks to make certain that your district has timely paid all bills/obligations, including financing arrangements to pay for school construction, school buses, photocopiers, etc.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>4. Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</p> <p><i>The payments to government agencies were paid in a timely manner.</i></p>	<p>This indicator seeks to make sure the district fulfilled its obligation to the TRS, TWC and IRS to transfer payroll withholdings and to fulfill any additional payroll-related obligations required to be paid by the district.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>5. Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero?</p> <p><i>Indicator not being scored-August 31, 2020 CAFR - Exh. A-1 Statement of Net Position "3900 Unrestricted"</i></p>	<p>This indicator simply asks, "Did the district's total assets exceed the total amount of liabilities?"</p> <p>This indicator is not being scored this year due to the impact of accounting changes implemented by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>

Indicators

<u>State Indicator</u>	<u>Indicator Background</u>	<u>SFDR CISD 19-20 Results</u>	<u>SFDR CISD 18-19 Results</u>	<u>SFDR CISD 17-18 Results</u>
<p>6. Was the average change in fund balances over 3 years less than a 25 percent decrease or did the current year's assigned and unassigned fund balances exceed 75 days of operational expenditures?</p> <p><i>The District's average change in fund balances over 3 years was a decrease of 0.0393 percent.</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures the percentage change in fund balance to see whether the fund balance is declining too quickly, and if it is declining, whether sufficient fund balance remains to operate for at least 75 days.</p>	Yes	N/A	N/A
<p>7. Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures?</p> <p><i>Our district has more than 100 days of cash on hand (10 if >=90).</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures how long in days after the end of the fiscal the school district could have disbursed funds for its operating expenditures without receiving any new revenues.</p>	10	10	10
<p>8. Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt?</p> <p><i>August 31, 2020 CAFR - Exh. A-1 Statement of Net Position, the ratio of current assets to current liabilities is 4.6201 (10 if >=3.00).</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures whether the school district had sufficient short-term assets at the end of the fiscal year to pay off its short-term liabilities.</p>	10	10	10
<p>9. Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</p> <p><i>August 31, 2020 CAFR - Exh. G-1 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and changes in Fund Balance</i></p>	<p>This indicator simply asks, "Did you spend more than you earned?"</p>	10	10	10
<p>10. Did the school district average less than a 10 percent variance when comparing budgeted revenues to actual revenues for the last 3 fiscal years?</p> <p><i>Our data variance was -0.0097% (rounding)</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures how accurately the district forecast projected revenue by comparing budgeted revenue submitted through PEIMS in October of the fiscal year to actual revenue submitted after the close of the fiscal year.</p>	10	10	10

Indicators

State Indicator	Indicator Background	SFDRICSD 19-20 Results	SFDRICSD 18-19 Results	SFDRICSD 17-18 Results
11. Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? <i>The district's ratio was 0.3919 (10 if <=0.60).</i>	Were you below the cap for this ratio in School FIRST?	10	10	10
12. Was the debt per \$100 of assessed property value ratio sufficient to support future debt payments? <i>The district's ratio was 3.1928 (10 if <=4).</i>	This indicator asks about the school district's ability to make debt principal and interest payments	10	N/A	N/A
13. Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? <i>SFDRICSD's administrative cost ratio for 2019-2020 was below the state limit of 0.1000.</i>	This indicator measures the percentage of their budget that Texas school districts spent on administration.	10	10	10
14. Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? <i>The 2019-2020 enrollment of 10,331 is a decrease of 248 (0.0355%) to the 2017-2018 enrollment of 10,579.</i>	If the school district had a decline in students over 3 school years, this indicator asks if the school district decreased the number of the staff on the payroll in proportion to the decline in students.	10	10	10
15. Was the school district's ADA within the allotted range of the district's biennial pupil projection(s) submitted to TEA? <i>SFDRICSD's ADA was within the allotted range for 2019-2020.</i>	This indicator measures how well the district was able to project average daily attendance for the coming biennium for payment purposes. Projected ADA is compared to actual.	5	N/A	N/A
16. Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function (Data Quality Measure)? <i>Our data variance was 0.00023% (rounding)</i>	This indicator measures the quality of data reported to PEIMS and in your Annual Financial Report to make certain that the data reported in each case "matches up." If the difference in numbers reported in any fund type is 3 percent or more, your district "fails" this measure.	Yes	Yes	Yes

Indicators

State Indicator	Indicator Background	SFDRICISD 19-20 Results	SFDRICISD 18-19 Results	SFDRICISD 17-18 Results
<p>17. Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?</p> <p><i>Belt Harris Pechacek, the District's external auditors, reported no material weakness in the 2019-2020 Audit.</i></p>	<p>A clean audit of your Annual Financial Report would state that your district has no material weaknesses in internal controls. Any internal weaknesses create a risk of your District not being able to properly account for its use of public funds, and should be immediately addressed.</p>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<p>18. Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds?</p> <p><i>Belt Harris Pechacek, the District's external auditors, reported no instances of material noncompliance in the 2019-2020 Audit.</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures whether the district is complying with laws, rules and regulations related to the expenditure of grant funds, contracts, and other state and federal funds.</p>	10	N/A	N/A
<p>19. Did the school district post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and other statutes, laws and rules that were in effect at the school district's fiscal year end?</p> <p><i>SFDRICISD posted all required financial information on it's website for 2019-2020.</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures whether the district is complying with legal requirements related to financial transparency by posting all required information.</p>	5	N/A	N/A
<p>20. Did the school board members discuss the district's property values at a board meeting within 120 days before the district adopted its budget?</p> <p><i>District property values were discussed during the 2019-2020 budget planning process.</i></p>	<p>This indicator measures whether the school board had the opportunity to consider the impact of changes in property value on the finances of the district.</p>	Yes	N/A	N/A

Total Points earned 100

<u>Ratings</u>	<u>Points</u>
A = Superior	90-100
B = Above Standard	80 – 89
C = Meets Standard	60 – 79
F = Substandard Achievement	< 60

Financial Transparency

- Report Disclosures
 - Superintendent Contract
 - Reimbursements to Superintendent and Board of Trustees
 - Outside compensation of Superintendent in exchange for professional and personal services
 - Gifts of \$250 or more to Superintendent and Board of Trustees
 - District Transactions with Board of Trustees

Public Comments



San Felipe Del Rio

Consolidated Independent School District



“A = Superior”

2021 Rating For the Year Ending August 31, 2020



DISCLOSURES

Reporting requirements for the financial management report for School FIRST public hearing are found in **Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing, Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System**. This rule describes requirements for the six (6) disclosures explained below that are to be presented as appendices in the School FIRST financial management report.



DISCLOSURES

1. Superintendent's Employment Contract

The school district is to provide a copy of the superintendent's employment contract that is effective on the date of the School FIRST hearing in calendar year 2021. In lieu of publication in the School FIRST financial management report, the school district may choose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the school district's Internet site. **If published on the Internet**, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

<https://www.sfdr-cisd.org/media/iafegygt/superintendent-contract-rios-2021.pdf>



DISCLOSURES

2. Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2020

For the Twelve-month Period Ended August 31, 2020	<i>Dr. Carlos Rios</i>	<i>Kenneth Smith</i>	<i>Joshua Overfelt</i>	<i>Linda Guanajuato Webb</i>	<i>Raymond Meza</i>	<i>Alfredo Contreras</i>	<i>Diana Gonzales</i>	<i>Amy Haynes</i>
Description of Reimbursements	Superintendent	Board Member 1	Board Member 2	Board Member 3	Board Member 4	Board Member 5	Board Member 6	Board Member 7
Meals	\$1,069.32	\$ -	\$468.00	\$342.00	\$961.00	\$244.00	\$575.00	\$468.00
Lodging	2,385.09	195.11	845.84	981.26	1,867.84	714.38	1,887.61	1,421.09
Transportation	4,079.10	-	955.93	678.78	1,097.54	499.38	1,243.80	-
Motor Fuel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	2093.63	195.00	736.37	817.22	-	1,500.00	710.00	1,045.00
Total	\$9,627.14	\$390.11	\$3,006.14	\$2,819.26	\$3,926.38	\$2,957.76	\$4,416.41	\$2,934.09

Note – The spirit of the rule is to capture all “reimbursements” for fiscal year 2020, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order. Reimbursements to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed off of the school district’s premises, and in-district meals at area restaurants (excludes catered meals for board meetings).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls.

Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.



DISCLOSURES

3. Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services in Fiscal Year 2020

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2020	<i>Dr. Carlos Rios</i>
Name(s) of Entity(ies)	Superintendent
	\$ -
Total	\$ -

Note – Compensation does not include business revenues from the superintendent’s livestock or agricultural-based activities on a ranch or farm. Report gross amount received (do not deduct business expenses from gross revenues). Revenues generated from a family business that have no relationship to school district business are not to be disclosed.



DISCLOSURES

4. Gifts Received by the Executive Officer(s) and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) in Fiscal Year 2019

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2020	<i>Dr. Carlos Rios</i>	<i>Kenneth Smith</i>	<i>Joshua Overfelt</i>	<i>Linda Guanajuato Webb</i>	<i>Raymond Meza</i>	<i>Alfredo Contreras</i>	<i>Diana Gonzales</i>	<i>Amy Haynes</i>
	Superintendent	Board Member 1	Board Member 2	Board Member 3	Board Member 4	Board Member 5	Board Member 6	Board Member 7
Summary Amounts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Note – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification. Gifts received by first degree relatives, if any, will be reported under the applicable school official.



DISCLOSURES

5. Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2020

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2020	<i>Kenneth Smith</i> Board Member 1	<i>Joshua Overfelt</i> Board Member 2	<i>Linda Guanajuato Webb</i> Board Member 3	<i>Raymond Meza</i> Board Member 4	<i>Alfredo Contreras</i> Board Member 5	<i>Diana Gonzales</i> Board Member 6	<i>Amy Haynes</i> Board Member 7
Summary Amounts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Note - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items reported in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.



DISCLOSURES

6. Any other information the board of trustees of the school district determines to be useful.

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST): Beginning with the 2002-2003 school year, the State implemented a new financial accountability rating system for Texas public school districts. Districts are rated based on overall performance on certain financial measurements, ratios, and other indicators. The system is designed to encourage districts to improve the management of their financial resources to maximize the funds allocated for direct instructional purposes. The District has received the highest rating possible since the inception of the program.

Texas Comptroller Leadership Circle: The State Comptroller of Public Accounts launched the Texas Comptroller Leadership Circle program in December 2009 to recognize local governments across Texas that are striving to meet a high standard for financial transparency online. This program spotlights local governments across Texas that are opening their books to the public; providing clear, consistent pictures of spending; and sharing information in a user-friendly format that lets taxpayers easily drill down for more information. The District was awarded its second Leadership Circle Platinum Award, the State Comptroller's highest recognition which indicates entities that go above and beyond providing financial transparency.

